A study of foramen magnum and its clinical relevance

Arjun Kumar¹, Pratishtha Potdar^{2*}, Kuldeep Singh³, Jagmohan Singh Dhakar⁴

¹Resident, ²Associate Professor, ³Professor, ⁴Statistician cum Assistant Professor, ¹⁻³Dept. of Anatomy, ⁴Dept. of Community Medicine, ¹Rama Medical College & Research Institute, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, ^{2,4}Santosh Medical College, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, ³Badaun Medical College, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh, India

*Corresponding Author: Pratishtha Potdar

Email: drpratishthagupta@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: Foramen magnum is very important land mark as it is closely related with brainstem and spinal cord. Morphometric parameters of foramen magnum are required for forensic investigations & anthropological identification of unknown individual. As there is paucity of literature regarding variations in size & shape in reference to different races which prompted us for this study.

Aims and Objective: The aim was to study the morphology of foramen magnum that can be applied for many invasive surgical as well as diagnostic procedures, which carried out in brain.

Materials and Methods: In this cross sectional study, 50 adult human skulls were taken from the department of anatomy. Various parameters of foramen magnum like antero-posterior diameter, transverse diameter along with the measurement of area of dry human adult skull were calculated using vernier caliper to an accuracy of 0.1mm. Mean standard deviation and p value were also calculated. The distribution of shapes into oval, round, tetragonal, irregular, diamond were also assessed visually.

Results: The commonest shape found was oval followed by irregular. In this study on 100 sides of 50 dry Skulls the mean Antero-posterior diameter, Transverse diameter are as 34.08 ± 2.25 mm & 28.17 ± 2.85 mm. The measurement of area in foramen magnum of dry skull is 757.41 ± 115.09 mm². This data were then compared and analyzed with the various other studies.

Conclusion: The anatomical knowledge of Foramen magnum is of great importance for Neurologist, Radiologist & Anthropologist to achieve the best exposure with appropriate surgical outcome.

Keywords: Foramen magnum, Skull, Anatomical variations, Morphometric analysis.

Introduction

The foramen magnum is wide oval opening in the posterior cranial fossa connecting the cranial cavity with the vertebral canal. It allow the passage of vital structures of brain through it. The margins of the foramen magnum is anteriorly encroached by the occipital condyles, which articulate with the superior articular facets of the atlas.¹ The foramen magnum is protected by soft tissue mass inferiorly.^{2,3}

The morphometric data of foramen magnum can be used for diagnosis of various congenital disease such as Arnold Chiari malformation in which expansion of transverse diameter more than anteroposterior diameter.⁴ The diameter of the foramen magnum are also needed prior to the surgery of the posterior cranial fossa lesions as greater contra lateral exposure required where antero-posterior diameter is more. The morphological parameters of the foramen magnum are more in males than in females, which can be utilize for differentiation of sex in various medicolegal conditions.⁵ Various studies shows of foramen magnum shows that the antero-posterior & transverse diameter is 3.5cm & 3 cm. Compression of brainstem due to various pathological conditions causes life threatening respiratory complications.^{6,7} Studies of Foramen magnum in skull is very important in anthropology, anatomy, forensic & various other field but the available data is scanty and is at variance with one another.8 So additional studies are required to form some standard parameters. Further, foramen magnum parameter index is also utilize for differentiations of races among skull.9 There also exist some racial relationship among different shapes of foramen

magnum.¹⁰ Thus considering the above importance of foramen magnum, we calculate the antero-posterior diameter, transverse diameter along with the measurement of area in foramen magnum of dry human skull. We also analyze on variations of its shape, which can be utilize by Clinicians and Radiologist for various diagnostic procedures.

Materials and Methods

A cross sectional study was conducted in the department of Anatomy, Rama Medical College, from February 2017 to August 2017 after taking the ethical clearance from Institutional Ethical Committee. Study was done on 100 sides of 50 adult human dry skull. Complete dry skulls of age group between (18-70 yrs) were taken from department. The skulls that are broken or incomplete and those of children & old age were excluded from the study. All dimensions of Foramen magnum in dry human skulls were measured with the help of Vernier calipers in an accuracy of 0.01mm. All dimensions were measured separately by two observer to prevent inter-observer error. The dimensions measured were includes the following:

Anteroposterior diameter /Foramen magnum length (FML)

Anteroposterior diameter is measured by taking a point on the middle of anterior margin to the point on the middle of posterior margin of the foramen magnum. Mean of two values were taken (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1: Showing measurement of Antero posterior diameter

Transverse diameter/Foramen magnum width (FMW) The width of foramen magnum is taken by measuring most prominent parts of the lateral curvature as straight transverse diameter. (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2: Showing measurement of Transverse diameter

The two prongs of vernier calipers are fixed with screw over bony margins, of foramen magnum then the length and width were recorded over the graduated metallic scale.

Foramen magnum Area (FMA)

The area of Foramen magnum was calculated as described by Anil Kumar et al¹¹ using Radinsky's formula.

Variation in Shapes of foramen magnum

The frequency of distribution of various types of shapes were recorded (Fig. 3-6).



Fig. 3: Diamond shape of FM



Fig. 4: Pentagonal shape of FM



Fig. 5: Hexagonal shape of FM



Fig. 6: Oval shape of FM

Statistical analysis

The morphometric data were calculated statistically using SPSS software version 17 program and appropriate test were applied. The mean & standard deviation of each dimensions along with p value were also calculated.

Results

The morphometric data of foramen magnum such as anteroposterior, transverse & area were recorded and are represented in tabulated form. The distribution of various types of shapes were assessed macroscopically in foramen magnum and represented is represented in tabular form.

Table 1: Showing the n	norphometric dimensions	of foramen magnum
------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------

Parameter	Dry Skull (mm) (Mean ± SD)	Max	Min	p value
AP	34.08 ± 2.25	36.25	32.25	< 0.001
TR	28.17 ± 2.85	30.75	25.75	< 0.001
AREA	757.41 ± 115.09	1030.45	634.65	< 0.001

Table 1 shows the parameters of foramen magnum- the mean anteroposterior diameter is 34.08 mm ranging from 36.25-32.25 mm with standard deviation of 2.25 & mean transverse diameter is 28.17mm ranging from 30.75-25.75mm with standard deviation of 2.85.The mean area is 757.41mm ranging from 1030.45-634.65 mm² with standard deviation of 115.09mm.p value is <0.001 which is statistically significant.

Table 2: Showing the various types of shapes of foramen magnum

Shapes	Dry skull	Percentage (%)
Oval	29	58
Irregular	00	-
Hexagonal	05	10
Tetragonal	00	-
Pentagonal	02	4
Round	06	12
Diamond	08	16

In this study Table 2 shows the frequency of distribution of types of shapes in foramen magnum is oval 58%, hexagonal 10%, pentagonal 4%, round 12% and diamond 16%.

Table 3: Comparison of distribution of mor	phological types of shape	es in foramen magnum of dry	v skull with previous studies.
Tuble 5: Comparison of distribution of mor	photogreat types of shape	es in forumen magnam of ar	skull with previous studies.

Types of foramen Magnum	Murshed et al ¹²	Radhakrishna et al ¹³	P. Chethan et al ¹⁰	Radhika P.M. ¹⁴	Present Study ⁵⁰
Oval	9(8.1%)	39(39%)	8(15.1%)	60(40%)	29(58%)
Round	24(21.8%)	28(28%)	12(22.6%)	30(20%)	6(12%)
Tetragonal	14(12.7%)	19(19%)	10(18.9%)	9(6%)	-
Hexagonal	19(17.2%)	-	3(5.6%)	9(6%)	5(10%)
Irregular	22(19.9%)	-	8(15.1%)	24(16%)	-
Pentagonal	-	-	-	-	2(4%)
Diamond	-	-	-	-	8(16%)

This table shows that the data obtained from present study was compared with reports from different authors. According to Murshed et al,¹² Chetan et al,¹⁰ Radakrishan et al¹³ shape is mainly rounded type while in our study & study done by Radhika et al¹⁴ shape is mainly oval type.

Authors And Year	Antero-posterior diameter in (mm)	Transverse Diameter In (mm)
Coin and Malkasion (1971)	34	29
Olivier (1975)	35.7	30.34
Routal et al (1984)	35.5	29.6
Catalina et al (1987)	36.2	31.1
Lange (1991)	35.33	29.6
Sendemir et al (1994)	35.6	29.9
Berge and Bergmenn (2001)	33.8	28.3
Murshed et al (2003)	35.9	30.45
Muthukumar et al (2005)	33.3	27.9
Kizilkant et al (2006)	34.8	29.9
Gapert et al (2008)	35.91	30.51
Suazo G.et al (2009)	36.05	30.05
Milhorat et al (2010)	32.5	30.8
Chethan et al (2011)	31	25.2
Damiani et al (2012)	34.78	28.69
Radhakrishna et al (2012)	34.04	28.63
Kanchan et al (2013)	34.51	28.98
Santhosh et al (2013)	34.37	28.98
Radhika P.M et al (2014)	35.3	29.4
Muralidhar et al (2014)	33.4	28.5
Anil Kumar et al (2015)	36.78	30.05
Vishal Ramesh Jasuja (2016)	34.13	27.82
Ayfer Metin et al (2017)	33.86	29,48
Present study (2018)	34.08	28.17

T		$\overline{\mathbf{a}}$	•	C	1 1	• 1		C C	•	•	. 1.
I O DIA	/ I •	1 om	naricon	of mo	rnhold	201021	noromotore	of toromon	maaniim i	n warione	of 11/d 100
	-	V ADDE	Datta Olli	UT 111U	1 1 71 10 710	1810.01	11/11/11/11/11/11/11	<u> </u>	111/1/2/1111/11/1	α various	SHILLING.
			partoon	01 1110	10101	- Breen	parativers	01 1014111011			

The above table shows that the data obtained from present study compared with reports from different authors. The measurement of anteroposterior dimension transverse dimensions are same as done by Radhakrishna et al,¹³ Damiani et al¹⁵ & Santosh et al.¹⁶

Table 5: Interpretation & analysis of Area measurement with other studies on foramen magnum

Authors and years	Foramen magnum area (mm2)
Teixeira (1982)	963.73
Routal et al (1984)	819
Catalina et al (1987)	888.4
Gunay and Altinkok (2000)	909.91
Murshed et al (2003)	931.7
Acer et al (2006)	760
Gapert et al (2008)	862.41
Milhorat et al (2010)	787.7
Ukoha et al (2011)	857
Raghavendra et al (2012)	811.67
Burdan et al (2012)	877.4
Singh and Talwar(2013)	733
Mularidhar et al (2014)	748.6
Anil Kumar et al (2015)	876.88
AyferMetin et al (2017)	8,17
Present study (2018)	757.41

In our study of foramen magnum area measurement is similar to study done by Muralidhar et al,³ Singh & Talwar¹⁷ & Acer et al¹⁸

Discussions

Foramen magnum is a passage between the cranial cavity and vertebral canal. It is formed by interaction of bony ligaments & muscular structures forming the complex cranio-vertebral junction. The anatomical knowledge of foramen magnum is helpful for manifestation of clinical sign and symptoms in various cranio-cervical disease.¹⁹ Bony parameters of foramen magnum is also needed for trans-condylar approach. Understanding the importance of foramen magnum the findings of the present study were compared with previous studies. In our study of foramen magnum the mean antero-posterior & transverse diameters in 50 skulls are 34.08±2.25 mm and 28.17±2.85 mm similar to study on Brazilian skulls which also shows that the mean Antero-posterior diameter was 35.22±3.3 mm and the mean Transverse diameter was 30.3 ± 2.0 mm. This morphometric study of foramen magnum showed slightly less dimensions than the study done on 100 Nigerian skulls in 2011, which showed a mean Antero-posterior diameter of 36.2±2.3 mm and a transverse diameter of 30.0±2.5 mm.²⁰ This shows that this variations in study is due to racial differentiation. Morphometric measurement of foramen magnum revealed that antero-posterior diameter is more than the transverse diameter in our study and similar finding reported by Olivier.²¹ Ford also reported that during prenatal period length of foramen magnum increases rapidly than the width which also explained that herniation of cerebellar tonsil is due to large anteroposterior diameter of foramen magnum.²² The measurement of anteroposterior & transverse diameter in our study same as study done by Radhakrishna et al,¹³ Damiani et al¹⁵ & Santosh et al.¹⁶

Measurement of area on foramen magnum in the study is 757.41 mm² which is similar to morphometric study done by Muralidhar et al,³ Singh & Talwar¹⁷ & Acer et al.¹⁸ Uthmant et al²³ also reported that foramen magnum area is best discriminant parameter for sex determination with an accuracy of 69.3%. Foramen magnum undergoes various evolutionary changes due to its different osteological features.²⁴ There are great variation in morphological shapes of foramen magnum. The morphological variation of Foramen magnum found in the our study were Oval 48%, Rounded 19%, Hexagonal 9%, Pentagonal 12% Diamond 9% and Irregular 3% shapes. Zaidi & Dayal²⁵ & Radhika et al¹⁴ also observed oval as main type 64%. Knowledge of this morphological shape is very important as for surgeons as it is difficult to explore anterior portion of foramen magnum in ovoid type. In some other study of Mushed et al,¹² Chetan et al,¹⁰ Radakrishan et al¹³ the round shape is commonly observed. There is great variations regarding literature available on the morphological dimensions of foramen magnum. The present study gives a morphometric reference of various types of foramen magnum in Indian population & its clinical significance. This type of prospective studies will help to approach for brain stem lesions which are increasing in recent trend.

Conclusion

The morphometric knowledge regarding foramen magnum is very important for various neurological &surgical procedures of posterior cranial fossa. The study of foramen magnum provide supportive findings for forensic experts in estimation of sex of fragmented, incomplete or damaged dry human skulls.

This type of morphometric study also gives us significant parameters which should be applied during cranio-vertebral surgery& to determine feasible transcondylar approaches to prevent complications like hemorrhage and injury to vital structures going through it. Therefore, at last we conclude that the comparative evaluations on data of foramen magnum showed significant difference between various parameters, so further studies are required on it due to its great clinical significance.

Source of funding

None.

Conflict of interest

None.

References

- Standarding S. Gray's anatomy. The anatomical basis of clinical practice. 39th ed. London: Elsevier Churchill Livingstone; 2005:460.
- 2. Manoel C, Prado FB, Caria PHF, Groppo FC. Morphometric analysis of the foramen magnum in human skulls of brazilian individuals: its relation to gender. *Braz J Morphol Sci* 2009;26(2):104-8.
- Muralidhar P Shepur, Magi M, Nanjundappa B, Pavan P Havaldar, Premalatha Gogi, Shaik Hussain Saheb. Morphometric analysis of foramen magnum. *Int J Anat Res* 2014;2(1):249-55.
- Gautam Kanodia, Vijay Parihar, Yad R Yadav, Pushp R Bhatele and Dhananjay Sharma. Morphometric analysis of posterior fossa and foramen magnum. *J Neurosci Rural Pract* 2012;3(3):261–6.
- 5. Tanujkanchan, Anadigupta, and kewalkrishan. Craniometric analysis of foramen magnum for estimation of sex. *Int J Medi Health Biomed Pharmace Engin* 2013;7(7):111-3.
- Suazo G I C, Russo P P, Zavando M D A, Smith R L. Sexual dimorphism in the foramen magnum dimensions. *Int J Morphol* 2009;27(1):21-3.
- Edwards K, Viner M D, Schweitzer W, Thali M J. Sex determination from the foramen magnum. *J Foren Radio Imag* 2013;1(4):186-92.
- 8. Furtado SV, Thakre DJ, Venkatesh PK, Reddy K, Hegde AS. Morphometric analysis of foramen magnum dimensions and intracranial volume in pediatric chiari I malformation. *ACTA Neurochir (WEIN)* 2010:152:221-7.
- 9. Deepak S Howale, Anil Bathija, Sudarshan Gupta, Pandit D P. Correlation between cranial index and foramen magnum index in human dried skulls. *GJRA* 2014;3(1):3-6.
- Chethan P, Prakash K G. Morphological analysis and morphometry of foramen magnum: an anatomical investigation. *Turk Neurosurg* 2012:22(4):416-9.
- 11. Anil Kumar, Mitesh Dave, Sanam Anwar. Morphometric evaluation of foramen magnum in dry human skulls. *Int J Anat Res* 2015;3(2):1015-23.
- 12. Murshed KA, Emine A, Tuncer I: Morphometric evaluation of the foramen magnum and variations in its shape: a study of CT images of normal adults. *Turk J Sci* 2003;33:301-6.

- Radhakrishna S.K. Shivarama C.H. Ramakrishna A. Bhagya B. Morphometric analysis of foramen magnum for sex determination in South Indian population. *NUJHS* 2012;2(1):20-2.
- Radhika P.M, Shailaja Shetty, Prathap K.J, C. Sheshgiri, Jyothi K.C. Morphometric study of the foramen magnum in adult human skulls in Indian population. *Asian J Med Clin Sci* 2014;3(2):68-72.
- Damiani Borelli NS, Melo HJF, Lima RS, Nobeschi. Morphometry and spatial correlation of the foramen magnum and spinal cord through MRI. *J Morphol Sci* 2012;29(2):87-90.
- Santhosh CS, Vishwanathan KG, Ashok Gupta, Siddesh RC, Tejas J. Morphometry of the Foramen Magnum: An Important Tool in Sex Determination. Research and Reviews. *J Ed Health Sci* 2013;4(2):88-91.
- Singh and Talwar. Morphometric analysis of foramen magnum in human skull for sex determination. *Hum Biol Rev* 2013;2(1):29-41.
- Acer N, Sahin B, Ekinci N, Ergür H, Basaloglu H. Relation between intracranial volume and the surface area of the foramen magnum. *J Craniofac Surg* 2006;17:326–30.

- Nelson FW, Horton WA, Wassman ER. Computerized tomography of the Foramen magnum; A chondroplastic values compared to normal standards. *Am J Med Genet* 1985;35:705-12.
- Ukoha U. Sexual Dimorphism in the Foramen Magnum of Nigerian. Adult Int J Biol Med Res 2011;2(4):878–81.
- 21. Olivier G. Biometry of the human occipital bone. *J Anat* 1975;120:507-18.
- 22. Ford HER. Growth of foetal skull. J Anat 1956;90:63-72.
- 23. Uthman AT, Rawi NA and Timini JA. Evaluation of foramen magnum gender determination using helical CT scanning. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2012;14:197-202.
- 24. Nevell L, Wood B. Cranial base evolution with in the hominin clade. *J Anat* 2008;212;455-68.
- 25. Zaidi SH, Dayal SS. Variations in shape of Foramen magnum in Indian skulls. *Anat Anz Jena* 1988;167:338-40.