Hypothyroidism in an urban slum area: A hidden epidemic

Mohan Pandurang Joshi^{1,*}, Ausvi Samina M²

¹Associate Professor, ²Junior Resident, Dept. of Community Medicine, NKP Salve Institute of Medical Sciences & Research Centre, Nagpur

> *Corresponding Author: Email: mjoshi1960@rediffmail.com

Abstract

Hypothyroidism is emerging as a common health problem in India. However, there are only few studies on the prevalence of hypothyroidism in adult population of India.A hospital based participative study was undertaken among adult population of UHTC of tertiary care hospital. All adult male and female natives residing in that urban area for at least 5 years were invited to participate in a general health check up camp and those persons who consented for their participation by contributing charges for their investigations were included in this study. Patients with history of hypothyroidism who are taking treatment or those with serum free T4< 0.89 ng/dl and TSH > 5.5 μ IU/ml were considered as having hypothyroidism.It was reflected that as age increases risk of developing hypothyroidism also increases thus age is an independent risk factor for hypothyroidism with P value of 0.017 which is statistically significant. More number of females (22.69%) than males (6.89%) were affected by hypothyroidism and the difference in the gender was found to be statistically highly significant.(P – 0.006) with females having four times more risk of hypothyroidism. Subjects having family history of thyroid disorders were 3 times more prone to hypothyroidism than those without family history. The difference being statistically highly significant.(P – 0.002).There was 2 times more risk of hypothyroidism in subjects with BMI \geq 25.Prevalence of hypothyroidism was higher among female gender and increases with age. The family history and obesity were found to have significant association with hypothyroidism.

Keywords: Hypothyroidism, Iodine deficiency disorder, Non Communicable Diseases, prevalence of hypothyroidism, Thyroid disorders.

Introduction

The WHO had reported that Non Communicable Diseases (NCD) is the leading cause of mortality in the world. This shift in mortality towards NCD and away from acute infectious diseases is being experienced in developing countries including India. The NCD Alliance, as well as other global stake holders, list four main diseases which contribute to 80% of the mortality burden of NCD, which includes cardiovascular diseases, stroke, cancer and diabetes.⁽¹⁾Nowhere in the list of NCD, is thyroid disease is mentioned. But the thyroid disorders are a leading cause of morbidity worldwide. The incidence of hypothyroidism is rising rapidly, as is the prevalence. Thyroid disorders share bidirectional association with virtually all the NCD's like CVS disorders, cancer, mental health problems and diabetes. The public health impact of thyroid diseases had been appreciated in recent years.⁽²⁾

Though the pictures of huge goitres, stunted growth and florid hypothyroidism are, mercifully, a snap shot of the past. According to projection from various studies on thyroid disease, it has been estimated that about 42 million people in India suffer from thyroid diseases.⁽³⁾The prevalence of hypothyroidism in the developed world is about 4-5%.⁽⁴⁻⁵⁾The prevalence of subclinical hypothyroidism in the developed world is about 4-15%.^(4,6)Hypothyroidism is characterized by a broad clinical spectrum ranging from an overt state of myxoedema, end-organ effects and multisystem failure to an asymptomatic or subclinical condition with

normal levels of thyroxin and triiodothyronine and mildly elevated levels of serum thyrotropin.⁽⁷⁾

In India, hypothyroidism was usually categorized under the cluster of iodine deficiency disorders (IDDs), which were represented in terms of total goitre rates and urinary iodine concentrations, typically assessed in school-aged children.⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾ The overall prevalence of hypothyroidism is 10.95%.⁽¹¹⁾ India is supposedly undergoing a transition from iodine deficiency to sufficiency state. A recent review of studies conducted in the post-iodization phase gives some indication of the corresponding change in the thyroid status of the Indian population.⁽¹²⁾ The central role of iodine in thyroid function in undisputed; several other minerals and trace elements are also involved in thyroid metabolism. These include iron, selenium and zinc. Coexisting deficiencies of these micro nutrients can interfere with thyroid function. Iron deficiency reduces the activity of heme- dependent thyroid peroxidise and iron supplementation improves the efficacy of iodine supplementation.⁽¹³⁾ This is of immense public health importance in India, where the prevalence of iron deficiency anaemia is very high.(14)

Hypothyroidism is emerging as a common health problem in India. However, there are only few studies on the prevalence of hypothyroidism in adult population of India. The aim of the present study is to estimate the prevalence of hypothyroidism among the population in urban slum area.

Aims and Objectives

- 1. To study the prevalence of Hypothyroidism in an urban slum area.
- 2. To study hypothyroidism with its some associated socio demographic factors.

Materials and Methods

A hospital based participative study was undertaken during 1st January 2012 to 31st December 2012 among adult population of UHTC of tertiary care hospital. All adult male and female natives residing in that urban area for at least 5 years were invited to participate in a general health check up camp and those persons who consented for their participation by contributing charges for their investigations were included in this study. To create awareness of this health camp pamphlet were distributed door to door in that locality and also mouth to mouth publicity was done by health care workers in that area. Participants were excluded if they were pregnant or if they were receiving drugs like lithium or steroids that could interfere with thyroid function tests. Permission of institutional ethical committee was taken before starting the study. The study questionnaire used for data collection was based on the STEPS approach of WHO. It included questions related to socio demographic information and any known morbidities. The height, weight, BMI, blood pressure measurements were done at UHTC as per study protocol. Weight was recorded using a standard weighing scale (Krups weighing scale, New Delhi, India) that was kept on a firm horizontal surface. Weight was recorded to the nearest 50 gm. Height was recorded using a measuring tape to the nearest 1 cm. Subjects were requested to stand upright without shoes with their back against the wall, heels together and looking forward. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the formula, weight (kg) / height (m^2) . A person was considered to be obese if body mass index. (BMI) ≥ 25 kg/m²and overweight when BMI \geq 23 kg/m^{2.(15)}Blood pressure was measured on the right arm in a sitting posture, with the subject in a relaxed Standardized mercury sphygmomanometer state. (Diamond deluxe BP apparatus, Pune, India) with adult size cuff was used. The first appearance of (phase 1 of korotkoff sounds) sound was used to define Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP). The disappearance of sound (phase 5) was used to define Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP). Two readings were taken five minutes apart and the average of the two readings was taken as the final blood pressure reading. A person was considered to be a hypertensive if he / she was an already diagnosed case of hypertension and / or on treatment or with a current SBP of \geq 140 mm Hg or DBP \geq 90 mm Hg (JNC VII criteria).⁽¹⁶⁾

The blood sugar estimation was done by glucometer, and random blood sugar of 200mg/dl was taken as cut off for diagnosing the person to be diabetic⁽¹⁷⁾Fasting venous blood sample was taken for

Thyroid Function tests and sent to laboratory for further analysis. The TSH estimation was done by the chemiluminescence method using Advia Centaur automated immunoassay analyzer. Patients with history of hypothyroidism who are taking treatment or those with serum free T4< 0.89 ng/dl and TSH > 5.5 μ IU/ml were considered as having overt or clinical hypothyroidism and normal T4 and TSH $> 5.5 \mu$ IU/ml as subclinical hypothyroidism.⁽¹⁸⁾The study subjects were imparted health education in relation to hypothyroidism and life style modifications they needed and those in need of referral were referred to tertiary care hospital for further management. The data entry and analysis was done using Epi-info 7. The prevalence of hypothyroidism was reflected as frequency and percentage. A chi-square test is used to assess the trends in the prevalence of hypothyroidism, among different age groups and gender categories, family history of thyroid disorders and BMI.

Results

The total 340 people participated in this study of which 58 were male (17.1%) and 282 were female (82.9%). The mean age of study population was 41.88 years (SD \pm 13.35). The inclusion of study subjects in this study was voluntary and study subjects were expected to pay contribution for the investigations to know their health status. Among them majority 282 (82.9%) were female and 58 (17.1%) were male. The higher the percentage of female in this study might be due to higher health consciousness among urban female than their male counterpart.

Among the study subjects, 113 (33.23%) were from age group 36-45 years and 104 (30.58%) were from18-35 years of age. This contributes 64 % of study sample which was representative of socially and economically active group among urbanites. The majority of 129 (37.94%) study subjects were from class III of SES as per Modified Prasad classification followed by 99 (29.11%) study subjects who were from class II. The majority of 220 (64.7%) were from nuclear families, followed by 92 (27.1%) from joint families and 28(8.2%) were from third generation family. When these study subjects were analyzed as per their job profiles, there was an equal distribution among semiskilled 104 (30.58%), unskilled 101(29.70%) and skilled 98(28.82%). The professional (7.35%) and businessman (3.52%) were in minority. Among the study population 125(36.76%) had family history of hypertension while 116(34.11%) were from diabetic families and 32 (9.4%) had family history of thyroid disorders (Table 1).

(%) (n = 68)(%) (n = 272)(n = 340)Gender- Gender-Male4(6.89) $54(93.10)$ $58(17.1)$ Female $64(22.69)$ $218(77.30)$ $282(82.9)$ Age groups (years)18-35 $15(14.42)$ $89(85.58)$ 104 (30.58)36-45 $20(17.70)$ $93(82.30)$ 113 (33.23)46-54 $17(25.38)$ $50(74.62)$ $67(19.71)$ ≥ 55 16(28.58) $40(71.42)$ $56(16.48)$ Socioeconomic statusI (above 5546) $9(20)$ $36(80)$ Rs) $45(13.23)$ II (2773 Rs - 2717.54 Rs) $22(20.20)$ $79(79.80)$ Py (29.11) 545.44 Rs) $3(27.27)$ $104(80.62)$ III (1663.24 Rs) $11(19.64)$ $45(80.35)$ $56(16.47)$ V (below $3(27.27)$ $8(72.72)$ $11(3.23)$ 831.9 Rs) $11(19.64)$ $45(80.35)$ $56(16.47)$ Joint Joint $19(26.02)$ $73(79.34)$ $92(27.1)$ 3^{rd} generation $7(25)$ $21(75)$ $28(8.2)$ Dob profileDob profileDob profileProfessional $5(20)$ $20(80)$ $25(7.35)$ Business $2(16.66)$ $10(83.33)$ $12(3.52)$ Skilled $20(20.40)$ $78(79.59)$ $98(28.82)$ Semi-skilled $21(20.19)$ $83(79.80)$ 104 (30.58)	subjects (N= 340)							
(n = 68)(n = 272)Gender-Male4(6.89)54(93.10)58 (17.1)Female64(22.69)218(77.30)282 (82.9)Age groups (years)18-3515(14.42)89(85.58)104 (30.58)36-4520(17.70)93(82.30)113 (33.23)46-5417(25.38)50(74.62)67(19.71) 2 5525516(28.58)40(71.42)56 (16.48) Socioeconomic statusI(above 5546 Rs)9(20)36(80)45(13.23) Rs)II(2773 Rs - 20(20.20)20(20.20)79(79.80)99 (29.11) 545.44 Rs)III(1663.8 Rs - 25(19.37)2104(80.62)129(37.92) 3(79.30)IV(831.9 Rs - 1663.24 Rs)11(19.64)45(80.35)56 (16.47) 1663.24 Rs)V(below 3(27.27)3(72.72)11 (3.23) 8(72.72)11 (3.23) 220 (64.7) 13(3.9 Rs)V(below 3(27.27)3(72.72)11 (3.23) 8(72.72)11 (3.23) 220 (64.7) 23(19.33)Nuclear Joint19(26.02)73(79.34)92 (27.1) 3rd generation7(25)21(75)28 (82.) 20(80)25 (7.35) 28 (82.)Business2(16.66)10(83.33)12 (3.52) 3(79.80)Business2(16.66)10(83.33)12 (3.52) 3(79.80)Semi-skilled20(20.40)78(79.59)98 (28.82) 3(79.80)III020(19.80)81980.19)101	Characteristics			Total (%) (n = 340)				
Gender- Male 4(6.89) 54(93.10) 58 (17.1) Female 64(22.69) 218(77.30) 282 (82.9) Age groups (years) 18-35 15(14.42) 89(85.58) 104 36-45 20(17.70) 93(82.30) 113 36-45 20(17.70) 93(82.30) 113 (33.23) 46-54 17(25.38) 50(74.62) 67(19.71) \geq 55 16(28.58) 40(71.42) 56 (16.48 Socioeconomic status I (above 5546 9(20) 36(80) 45(13.23) Rs) 20(20.20) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) 5545.44 Rs) 25(19.37) 104(80.62) 129(37.92) III (1663.8 Rs - 25(19.37) 104(80.62) 129(37.94) 2717.54 Rs) 11(19.64) 45(80.35) 56 (16.47) 1663.24 Rs) 3(27.27) 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) V (below 3(27.27) 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) 831.9 Rs) 10(90)		(n = 68)		$(\mathbf{n} = 0, 0)$				
Female $64(22.69)$ $218(77.30)$ $282(82.9)$ 18-35 $15(14.42)$ $89(85.58)$ 104 (30.58)36-45 $20(17.70)$ $93(82.30)$ 113 (33.23)46-54 $17(25.38)$ $50(74.62)$ $67(19.71)$ $≥ 55$ 255 $16(28.58)$ $40(71.42)$ $56(16.48)$ Socioeconomic statusI(above 5546 $9(20)$ $36(80)$ $45(13.23)$ Rs)11 $256(16.48)$ $9(20)$ $36(80)$ $45(13.23)$ II(2773 Rs - 5545.44 Rs) $20(20.20)$ $79(79.80)$ $99(29.11)$ 5545.44 Rs) $25(19.37)$ $104(80.62)$ $129(37.9)$ III $(1663.28 \text{ Rs} -$ 								
Female $64(22.69)$ $218(77.30)$ $282(82.9)$ 18-35 $15(14.42)$ $89(85.58)$ 104 (30.58)36-45 $20(17.70)$ $93(82.30)$ 113 (33.23)46-54 $17(25.38)$ $50(74.62)$ $67(19.71)$ $≥ 55$ 255 $16(28.58)$ $40(71.42)$ $56(16.48)$ Socioeconomic statusI(above 5546 $9(20)$ $36(80)$ $45(13.23)$ Rs)11 $256(16.48)$ $9(20)$ $36(80)$ $45(13.23)$ II(2773 Rs - 5545.44 Rs) $20(20.20)$ $79(79.80)$ $99(29.11)$ 5545.44 Rs) $25(19.37)$ $104(80.62)$ $129(37.9)$ III $(1663.28 \text{ Rs} -$ $2717.54 \text{ Rs})$ $25(19.37)$ $104(80.62)$ $129(37.9)$ IV $(831.9 \text{ Rs} -$ $11(19.64)$ $45(80.35)$ $56(16.47)$ 1663.24 Rs) $3(27.27)$ $8(72.72)$ $11(3.23)$ 831.9 Rs 7209 $73(79.34)$ $92(27.12)$ 3^{rd} generation $7(25)$ $21(75)$ $28(8.2)$ Job profileProfessional $5(20)$ $20(80)$ $25(7.35)$ Business $2(16.66)$ $10(83.33)$ $12(3.52)$ Skilled $20(20.40)$ $78(79.59)$ $98(28.82)$ Semi-skilled $21(20.19)$ $83(79.80)$ 104 (30.58)Unskilled $20(19.80)$ 81980.19 101	Male	4(6.89)	54(93.10)	58 (17.1)				
18-35 15(14.42) 89(85.58) 104 (30.58) 36-45 20(17.70) 93(82.30) 113 (33.23) 46-54 17(25.38) 50(74.62) 67(19.71) ≥ 55 16(28.58) 40(71.42) 56 (16.48) Socioeconomic status I (above 5546 9(20) 36(80) 45(13.23) Rs) 20(20.20) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) 545.44 Rs) 20(20.20) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) 111 (1663.8 Rs - 2717.54 Rs) 25(19.37) 104(80.62) 129(37.9- 2717.54 Rs) IV (831.9 Rs - 1663.24 Rs) 11(19.64) 45(80.35) 56 (16.47) V (below 3(27.27) 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) 831.9 Rs) 717(5) 28 (8.2) 100 Type of family Nuclear 42(19.09) 178(80.90) 220 (64.7) Joint 19(26.02) 73(79.34) 92 (27.1) 3 rd generation 7(25) 21(75) 28 (8.2) Job profile 190(80)	Female			282 (82.9)				
18-35 15(14.42) 89(85.58) 104 (30.58) 36-45 20(17.70) 93(82.30) 113 (33.23) 46-54 17(25.38) 50(74.62) 67(19.71) ≥ 55 16(28.58) 40(71.42) 56 (16.48) Socioeconomic status I (above 5546 9(20) 36(80) 45(13.23) Rs) 20(20.20) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) 545.44 Rs) 20(20.20) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) 111 (1663.8 Rs - 2717.54 Rs) 25(19.37) 104(80.62) 129(37.9- 2717.54 Rs) IV (831.9 Rs - 1663.24 Rs) 11(19.64) 45(80.35) 56 (16.47) V (below 3(27.27) 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) 831.9 Rs) 717(5) 28 (8.2) 100 Type of family Nuclear 42(19.09) 178(80.90) 220 (64.7) Joint 19(26.02) 73(79.34) 92 (27.1) 3 rd generation 7(25) 21(75) 28 (8.2) Job profile 190(80)								
36-45 20(17.70) 93(82.30) 113 (33.23) 46-54 17(25.38) 50(74.62) 67(19.71) ≥ 55 16(28.58) 40(71.42) 56 (16.48) Socioeconomic status I (above 5546 9(20) 36(80) 45(13.23) Rs) 20(20.20) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) 5545.44 Rs) 20(20.20) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) 5545.44 Rs) 20(20.20) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) 111 (1663.8 Rs - 2717.54 Rs) 25(19.37) 104(80.62) 129(37.9- 9) 1V (831.9 Rs - 1663.24 Rs) 11(19.64) 45(80.35) 56 (16.47) V (below 3(27.27) 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) 831.9 Rs) 7 7 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) V (below 3(27.27) 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) 831.9 Rs) 7 7 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) W below 3(27.27) 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) 3'd generation 7(25) 21(75)	18-35	15(14.42)	89(85 58)	104				
36-45 20(17.70) 93(82.30) 113 (33.23) 46-54 17(25.38) 50(74.62) 67(19.71) ≥ 55 16(28.58) 40(71.42) 56 (16.48) Socioeconomic status 1 (33.23) I (above 5546 9(20) 36(80) 45(13.23) Rs) 20(20.20) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) 5545.44 Rs) 25(19.37) 104(80.62) 129(37.9) III (1663.8 Rs - 2717.54 Rs) 25(19.37) 104(80.62) 129(37.9) IV (831.9 Rs - 1663.24 Rs) 11(19.64) 45(80.35) 56 (16.47) V (below 3(27.27) 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) 831.9 Rs) 717 (25) 21(75) 28 (8.2) V (below 3(27.27) 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) 831.9 Rs) 19(26.02) 73(79.34) 92 (27.1) 3rd generation 7(25) 21(75) 28 (8.2) Job profile 190 100 104 Professional 5(20) 20(80) 25 (7.35) Business	10 55	15(11.12)	0)(05.50)	-				
46-54 17(25.38) 50(74.62) 67(19.71) ≥ 55 16(28.58) 40(71.42) 56 (16.48) Socioeconomic status I (above 5546 9(20) 36(80) 45(13.23) II (2773 Rs) 20(20.20) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) State 20(20.20) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) III (1663.8 Rs) 25(19.37) 104(80.62) 129(37.9-) V (831.9 Rs) 25(19.37) 104(80.62) 129(37.9-) IV (831.9 Rs) 11(19.64) 45(80.35) 56 (16.47) V (below 3(27.27) 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) 831.9 Rs) 7 7 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) V (below 3(27.27) 8(72.72) 11 (3.23) 9 Nuclear 42(19.09) 178(80.90) 220 (64.7) Joint 19(26.02) 73(79.34) 92 (27.1) 3 rd generation 7(25) 21(75) 28 (8.2) Job profile <	36-45	20(17.70)	93(82.30)					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				(33.23)				
Socioeconomic status I (above 5546 9(20) $36(80)$ $45(13.23)$ II (2773 Rs 20(20.20) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) 5545.44 Rs) 104(80.62) 129(37.9-2) 2717.54 Rs) 25(19.37) 104(80.62) 129(37.9-2) 2717.54 Rs) 11(19.64) 45(80.35) 56 (16.47) 1663.24 Rs) 11(19.64) 45(80.35) 56 (16.47) V (below 3(27.27) $8(72.72)$ 11 (3.23) 831.9 Rs) 110(26.02) 73(79.34) 92 (27.12) Joint 19(26.02) 73(79.34) 92 (27.12) 3 rd generation 7(25) 21(75) 28 (8.2) Job profile Professional 5(20) 20(80) 25 (7.35) Business 2(16.66) 10(83.33) 12 (3.52) Skilled 20(20.40) 78(79.59) 98 (28.82) Semi-skilled 21(20.19) 83(79.80) 104 <	46-54	17(25.38)	50(74.62)	67(19.71)				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	≥ 55	16(28.58)	40(71.42)	56 (16.48)				
Rs) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) II (2773 Rs - 5545.44 Rs) 20(20.20) 79(79.80) 99 (29.11) III (1663.8 Rs - 2717.54 Rs) 25(19.37) 104(80.62) 129(37.94) IV (831.9 Rs - 1663.24 Rs) 11(19.64) 45(80.35) 56 (16.47) V (below 831.9 Rs) 3(27.27) $8(72.72)$ 11 (3.23) Profeson 725 $21(75)$ $28(8.2)$ Joint 19(26.02) $73(79.34)$ 92 (27.1) 3 rd generation $7(25)$ $21(75)$ $28(8.2)$ Disting the second seco								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		9(20)	36(80)	45(13.23)				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20(20.20)	79(79.80)	99 (29.11)				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		25(19.37)	104(80.62)	129(37.94)				
831.9 Rs) Type of family Nuclear 42(19.09) 178(80.90) 220 (64.7 Joint 19(26.02) 73(79.34) 92 (27.1) 3 rd generation 7(25) 21(75) 28 (8.2) Job profile Professional 5(20) 20(80) 25 (7.35) Business 2(16.66) 10(83.33) 12 (3.52) Skilled 20(20.40) 78(79.59) 98 (28.82) Semi-skilled 21(20.19) 83(79.80) 104 (30.58) Unskilled 20(19.80) 81980.19) 101		11(19.64)	45(80.35)	56 (16.47)				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(001011	3(27.27)	8(72.72)	11 (3.23)				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Type of family							
3 rd generation 7(25) 21(75) 28 (8.2) Job profile Professional 5(20) 20(80) 25 (7.35) Business 2(16.66) 10(83.33) 12 (3.52) Skilled 20(20.40) 78(79.59) 98 (28.82) Semi-skilled 21(20.19) 83(79.80) 104 Unskilled 20(19.80) 81980.19) 101	Nuclear	42(19.09)	178(80.90)	220 (64.7)				
Job profile Professional 5(20) 20(80) 25 (7.35) Business 2(16.66) 10(83.33) 12 (3.52) Skilled 20(20.40) 78(79.59) 98 (28.82) Semi-skilled 21(20.19) 83(79.80) 104 Unskilled 20(19.80) 81980.19) 101		19(26.02)	73(79.34)	92 (27.1)				
Professional 5(20) 20(80) 25 (7.35) Business 2(16.66) 10(83.33) 12 (3.52) Skilled 20(20.40) 78(79.59) 98 (28.82) Semi-skilled 21(20.19) 83(79.80) 104 Unskilled 20(19.80) 81980.19) 101	3 rd generation			28 (8.2)				
Business 2(16.66) 10(83.33) 12 (3.52) Skilled 20(20.40) 78(79.59) 98 (28.82) Semi-skilled 21(20.19) 83(79.80) 104 (30.58) Unskilled 20(19.80) 81980.19) 101		Job profile	9					
Skilled 20(20.40) 78(79.59) 98 (28.82 Semi-skilled 21(20.19) 83(79.80) 104 Unskilled 20(19.80) 81980.19) 101	Professional	5(20)	20(80)	25 (7.35)				
Semi-skilled 21(20.19) 83(79.80) 104 (30.58) Unskilled 20(19.80) 81980.19) 101	Business	2(16.66)	10(83.33)	12 (3.52)				
Unskilled 20(19.80) 81980.19) 101	Skilled	20(20.40)	78(79.59)	98 (28.82)				
Unskilled 20(19.80) 81980.19) 101	Semi-skilled	21(20.19)	83(79.80)	104				
				(30.58)				
(20.70)	Unskilled	20(19.80)	81980.19)	-				
				(29.70)				
Family H/O NCD	TT / '	Family H/O N	CD	1				
Hypertension 25(20) 100(00) 105		25/200	100(00)	107				
Diabetes 25(20) 100(80) 125	Diabetes	25(20)	100(80)					
(36.76) Mellitus 23(19.82) 93(80.17) 116	Mellitus	23(10.82)	03(80.17)					
Mellitus 25(19.82) 95(60.17) 116 (34.11)	wiellitus	23(19.02)	93(00.17)					
Thyroid 13(40.62) 19(59.37) 32(9.4)	Thyroid	13(40.62)	19(59.37)	32(9.4)				
disorders	2	()						

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of study subjects (N= 340)

The results reflected that prevalence of hypothyroidism was 20% including subclinical hypothyroidism. 38 subjects (11.18%) out of 68 subjects were having their TSH $\ge 5.5 \ \mu$ L/ dl and T4 was 0.89 ng/dl and 30 subjects (8.82%) were having isolated elevation of TSH only with normal T4 levels. This high percentage of hypothyroidism among study population might be due to majority of females (82.9%) among study group. Among the study subjects, 63 (18.52%) were having BMI (≥ 23 kg/m²) i.e. overweight and 196 (57.634%) were obese. The totals of 78.16% study subjects were either overweight or obese. Hence they are at high risk for NCDs. The

prevalence of diabetes mellitus was low (2.9 %) as a criterion for diagnosis for diabetes was $RBS \ge 200$ mg/dl and they were the new cases. The total of 15 (4.38%) were found to be hypertensive (BP \geq 140/90) but 106 (31.17%) had pre-hypertension. Though only 10 (2.9%) study subjects were having their random blood sugar above 200 mg/dl and were diagnosed as diabetic as per study protocol. This was limitation of this study. Similarly only 15(4.38%) reported to have their blood pressure above 140/90. Though these prevalence's are definitely less than or equal to national averages, it can be due to limitation in present study design. As compare to low prevalence of DM and HTN prevalence study subjects, the among of hypothyroidism including subclinical form as diagnosed with TSH \geq 5.5 was 20% which was definitely higher than national average of around 10%. This high prevalence of hypothyroidism among study subjects could be due to higher percentage of female study subjects (82.9%) (Table 2).

study subjects							
Biological	Hypothyroidism	Normal	Total (%)				
risk factors	(%)	(%)	n = 340				
	n = 68	n = 272					
BMI(kg/m ²) -							
< 23	19(23.45)	62(76.54)	81 (23 .82)				
\geq 23-24.99	9(14.28)	54(85.71)	63 (18.52)				
≥25-29.99	25(18.65)	109(81.34)	134 (39.41)				
≥30	15(24.19)	47(75.80)	62(18.23)				
BP(mm of Hg) –							
< 140/90	67(20.62)	258(79.38)	325 (95.59)				
≥ 140/90	1(6.67)	14(93.33)	15 (4.41)				
BSL(mg%) –							
≤ 200	65(19.70)	265(80.30)	330 (97.06)				
> 200	3(30)	7(70)	10 (2.94)				

Table 2: Distribution of biological risk factors in				
study subjects				

When association between age and hypothyroidism was seen, it was reflected that as age increases risk of developing hypothyroidism also increases thus age is an independent risk factor for hypothyroidism with P value of 0.017 which is statistically significant. More number of females (22.69%) than males (6.89%) were affected by hypothyroidism and the difference in the gender was found to be statistically highly significant. (P - 0.006)with females having four times more risk of hypothyroidism. It was also seen that there was association between family history of thyroid disorders and hypothyroidism. Subjects having family history of thyroid disorders were 3 times more prone to hypothyroidism than those without family history. The difference being statistically highly significant.(P -0.002). Association between BMI and hypothyroidism was seen. There was 2 times more risk of hypothyroidism in subjects with BMI ≥ 25 (Table 3).

Age(yrs)	Hypothyroidism	Hypothyroidism	Total	OR(95%CI)
	present	absent		
18-35	15	89	104	1
36-45	20	93	113	1.28
46-54	17	50	67	2.02
≥ 55	16	40	56	2.37
Total	68	272	340	Chi-square for trend-
				5.64
				P-0.017
Sex	Hypothyroidsm	Hypothyroidsm	Total	
	present	absent		Chi-square -7.504
Female	64	218	196	P- 0.006
Male	4	54	144	OR(95%CI) -3.963
Total	68	272	340	
Family H/O	Hypothyroidsm	Hypothyroidsm	Total	
Thyroid	present	absent		Chi-square -9.391
disorders				P- 0.002
Present	13	19	32	OR(95%CI) -3.147
Absent	55	253	308	
Total	68	272	340	
BMI	Hypothyroidsm	Hypothyroidsm	Total	
	present	absent		Chi-square -5.830
≥25	48	148	196	P- 0.015
< 25	20	124	144	OR(95%CI) -2.010
Total	68	272	340	

Table 3: Association of Age, Sex and Family H/O of Thyroid disorders with Hypothyroidism

Discussion

In population based study in Cochin on 971 adult subjects, the prevalence of hypothyroidism was 3.9%.⁽¹⁹⁾The prevalence of subclinical hypothyroidism was still high at 9.4%. Present study reflected total 20% prevalence of hypothyroidism could be due to higher percentage of female study subjects (82.9%). The study reflected that prevalence present of hypothyroidism was higher in females (22.69%) than males (6.89%) and it was also shown that as the age increases, the prevalence of hypothyroidism also increases. Similar findings were reflected in above study. The prevalence of hypothyroidism was higher in female (11.4%) than male (6.2%) and it showed increase with age.

Unnikrishnan et al⁽¹⁸⁾ in their study of prevalence of hypothyroidism in adults also found that there was significant interaction of patient age and gender with the prevalence of hypothyroidism. A larger proportion of females (15.86%) than males (5.02%) were found to be affected by hypothyroidism with P- value of 0.0001. The prevalence of hypothyroidism was highest in the age group of 46-54 years. This was contrary to our study findings and it may be due to higher number of females in the present study with age group of \geq 55 years.

Abraham R et $al^{(20)}$ in the study conducted in women of Puducherry also found the prevalence of 11.5% and 19% women over 60 years of age were hypothyroid.

Conclusion

The prevalence of hypothyroidism in present study was high as compared to national averages. Female gender, older age and obesity were found to have significant association with hypothyroidism. Looking at high prevalence of hypothyroidism in study population especially in older obese females, it is required that thyroid diseases should be highlighted in National NCD Control Programme in India. Identification of risk factors earlier is important. Access to thyroid investigations and treatment to all, especially antenatal mother and children, should be made available as a part of control programme. There are many opportunities which are unutilized for generating awareness for thyroid disorders. The "Think Thyroid" month (January), Iodine Deficiency Disorder month (October). Global IID day (21stOctober), World Thyroid day (25thMay) and Thyroid cancer awareness month (September) should be utilized for spreading thyroid awareness among masses. The patient advocacy groups duly supported under National NCD Control Programmes can be catalytic in this regard.

References

- 1. The global epidemic, available from: http://ncd alliance.org/ global epidemic (last assessed on 2012 Sep 11).
- Kalra S, Unnikrishnan AG, Sahay R. Thyroidology and public health: The challenges ahead. Indian J. Endocr Metab2011;15:73-5.

- Available from: http://www.ias.ac.in/currsci/oct25,2000/n%
 20kochupillai.PDF. (last accessed on 2011 April 2).
- Hollowell JG, Staehling NW, Flanders WD, Hannon WH, Gunter EW, Spencer CA, et al. Serum TSH, T(4), and thyroid antibodies in the United States population (1988 to 1994): National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III). J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2002;87:489-99.
- Hoogendoorn EH, Hermus AR, de Vegt F, Ross HA, Verbeek AL, Kiemency LA, et al. Thyroid function and prevalence of anti-thyroperoxidase antibodies in a population with borderline sufficient iodine intake: Influences of age and sex. Clin Chem 2006;52:104-11.
- Bemben DA, Hamm RM, Morgan L, Winn P, Davis A, Barton E. Thyroid disease in the elderly. Part 2. Predictability of subclinical hypothyroidism. J Fam Pract 1994;38:583-8.
- 7. Cooper DS. Clinical practice. Subclinical hypothyroidism. N Engl J Med 2001;345:260-5.
- National Commission on Macroeconomics and Health Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi: Background Papers-Burden of Disease in India; 2005.
- Sood A, Pandav CS, Anand K, Sankar R, Karmarkar MG. Relevance and importance of universal salt iodization in India. Natl Med J India 1997;10:290-3.
- Kapil U, Saxena N, Ramachandran S, Balamurugan A, Nayar D, Prakash. Assessment of iodine deficiency disorders using the 30 cluster approach in the National Capital Territory of Delhi. Indian Pediatr 1996;33:1013-7.
- Dodd NS, Godhia ML. Prevalence of iodine deficiency disorders in adolescents. Indian J Pediatr 1992;59:585-91.
- 12. Tiwari BK, Ray I, Malhotra RL. Policy Guidelines on National Iodine Deficiency Disorders Control

Programme-Nutrition and IDD Cell. Directorate of Health Services, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. New Delhi: Government of India; 2006. p. 1-22.

- 13. Zimmermann MB, Kohrle J. The impact of iron and selection of deficiencies on iodine and thyroid metabolism. Biochemistry and relevance to public health. Thyroid 2002;12:867-8.
- Yadav SK, Singh Sharma A, Singh D. Selenium status in food grains of northern districts of India. J.Environ.Manage.2008;88:770-4.
- 15. Adams KF, Schatzkin A, Harris TB, Kipnis V, Mouw T, Ballard-Bardash R, Hollenbeck A. et al. Overweight, obesity and mortality in a large prospective cohort of persons 50-70 yearsold.N.Engl.J.Med;355:763-78.
- Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL et al. The seventh report of the Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood pressure: The JNC 7 Report. JAMA 2003; 289:2560–72.
- 17. WHO(2012), Prevention and control of Noncommunicable Diseases :Guidelines for primary health care in low resource settings.
- Unnikrishnan AG, Karla S, Sahay RK, Bantwal G, John M, Tewari N. Prevalence of hypothyroidism in adults: An epidemiological study in eight cities of India. Indian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Jul-Aug 2013;17(4):647-8.
- Usha Menon V, Sundaram KR, Unnikrishnan AG, Jaykumar RV, Nair V, Kumar H.High prevalence of undetected thyroid disorders in an iodine sufficient adult South Indian population. J. Indian Med. Association 2009;107:72-7.
- Abraham R, Murugan VS, Pukazhvanthen P, Sen SK. Thyroid Disorders in Women of Puducherry.Indian J Clin Biochem2009;24:52–9.